



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,956	09/30/2003	Christopher Don Roberts	355491-1250	1045

38706 7590 02/20/2008  
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP  
975 PAGE MILL ROAD  
PALO ALTO, CA 94304

EXAMINER
----------

CRANE, LAWRENCE E

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1623

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

02/20/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/676,956	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ROBERTS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Lawrence E. Crane	<b>Art Unit</b> 1623	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Lawrence E. Crane.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Hugo Eng.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 11 February 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*None*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*\*Applicant indicated that a minor error was discovered in a claim and requested guidance concerning how to correct same. Examiner suggested a 312 amendment as the most efficient way to proceed.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 L. E. Crane  
 Patent Examiner  
 Technology Center 1600

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)